

Spontaneous Breaking of Diffeomorphism Invariance in Matrix Theory

Shyamoli Chaudhuri[†]

Laboratory of Nuclear Studies, Cornell University, Ithaca NY 14853

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

(February 1, 2008)

We present a matrix action based on the unitary group $U(N)$ whose large N ground states are conjectured to be in precise correspondence with the weak-strong dual effective field theory limits of M theory preserving sixteen supersymmetries. We identify a finite N matrix algebra that corresponds to the spacetime and internal symmetry algebra of the Lorentz invariant field theories obtained in the different large N limits. The manifest diffeomorphism invariance of matrix theory is spontaneously broken upon specification of the large N ground state. We verify that there exist planar limits which yield the low energy spacetime effective actions of all five supersymmetric string theories in nine spacetime dimensions and with sixteen supercharges.

The consistent perturbative quantization of supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory with chiral matter coupled to supergravity given by either the Type I or Heterotic String Theory is a remarkable achievement [1]. However, in the absence of a nonperturbative framework there remains open the question of an underlying fundamental principle [1–3]. In previous matrix model proposals [4,5] that attempt to address this issue, an implicit assumption made was that the crucial long distance gravitational interaction would arise from off-diagonal $O(1/N)$ quantum corrections to the planar matrix action. The success of either matrix model proposal in meeting this consistency check remains in doubt [6].

Equally serious is the absence of a demonstration of spacetime Lorentz invariance in the large N limit of the Banks-Fischler-Shenker-Susskind matrix model [4]. In the Ishibashi-Kawai-Kitazawa-Tsuchiya matrix model [5] on the other hand, the arguments for making contact with the $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetries of the ten-dimensional IIB string and its Dstring ground states remain shaky [5]. A clear distinction of Ramond sector solitons from the Neveu-Schwarz sector solitons of the supersymmetric gauge theory is lacking. Nevertheless, the intriguing evidence of a dynamical mechanism in the matrix model which preferentially selects ground states with fewer than ten noncompact spacetime dimensions deserves further study [7].

In this paper, we resolve these issues directly at the classical level by proposing a new action for matrix theory which preserves sixteen supercharges, and is based on both adjoint and vector multiplets of the large N group $U(N)$. The planar limits of the matrix action correspond to classical ground states of String/M theory. Quantum $1/N$ corrections to the planar action are to be directly identified with quantum and nonperturbative corrections to classical string theory, both being absent in the special case of string theories which preserve sixteen supersymmetries. The planar limits of our matrix theory are manifestly Lorentz invariant. They can exhibit local supersymmetry, spacetime chirality, nonabelian gauge symmetry, and any additional higher rank tensor gauge symmetries characteristic of a supersymmetric effective field theory action. We will restrict ourselves in this paper to recovering all five string theories in nine noncompact dimensions as different large N limits of matrix theory: IB and heterotic $O(32)$, heterotic $E_8 \times E_8$, and the massive IIA and IIB strings [2,1], each preserving sixteen supersymmetries, and having a manifestly Lorentz covariant Lagrangian description.

Our proposed action for matrix theory takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} = & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\kappa^2} (\bar{\psi}_a \Gamma^{abc} D_b \psi_c - 4 \bar{\lambda} \Gamma^{ab} D_a \psi_b - 4 \bar{\lambda} \Gamma^a D_a \lambda) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} g^2 e^{\Phi} \bar{\chi}^i \Gamma^a D_a \chi^i + \frac{1}{2} g^2 e^{\Phi} F^{ab} F_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\kappa^2} (\mathcal{R} \\ & - 4 \Omega^a \Phi \Omega_a \Phi + 3 e^{2\Phi} H^{abc} H_{abc}) + \mathcal{S}_2 + \mathcal{S}_4. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$\mathcal{S}_2$ and $\mathcal{S}_4$ will denote, respectively, two-fermi and four-fermi matrix operators, identified below by requiring invariance of the classical matrix action under the finite N symmetry algebra $\mathcal{G}$. $\mathcal{G}$ plays the same role at finite N as the combined group of spacetime and internal symmetries found in the large N limit— a Lorentz invariant, supersymmetric, nonabelian gauge-gravity field theory with up to sixteen conserved supercharges. It should be apparent from Eq. (1) that $\mathcal{S}$ has many inequivalent large N ground states: in addition to taking N large, we can hold fixed certain dimensionless combinations of background fields and couplings. The resulting multitude of large N ground states is

conjectured to be in precise accord with the expected multitude of weak-strong dual effective field theory limits of M theory [2]. This is a natural generalization of the double scaling limit defined for the two-parameter one-matrix model [8].

The fundamental variables in our nonperturbative framework are, bosonic and fermionic, hermitian matrices living, respectively, in the $N \times N$ adjoint, and N -dimensional vector representations of the group $U(N)$. The introduction of Grassmann-valued matrices transforming in the N and $\bar{N}$ fundamental representations of the $SU(N)$ subgroup allows us to incorporate a crucial feature absent in previous matrix model proposals [4,5]— *chirality*. The large N limit of our action straightforwardly gives rise to sixteen component spinors which simultaneously satisfy both the Majorana and Weyl conditions of $d=10$ $\mathcal{N}=1$ SYM-supergravity [9,10]. A large N ground state of our matrix theory corresponds to diagonalized configurations for all $SU(N)$ matrices. The dimensionful couplings and fields of the large N limit are scaled with respect to the 11d Planck length, or inverse closed string tension, which we will hold fixed: $l_{11} = N\epsilon = N e^{\frac{2}{3}\Phi_0} \alpha'^{1/2}$, where Φ_0 is the vev of the dilaton scalar in the specified ground state of matrix theory, and $g_c = e^{\Phi_0}$ is the closed string coupling. Equivalently, we can define the size of the eleventh dimension, $R_{11} = e^{\Phi_0} \alpha'^{1/2}$. Unlike the matrix models in [4,5], the appearance of a continuum spacetime manifold in the large N limit will be *implicit*: a noncompact dimension might be evidenced, for example, by observation of the onset of a continuum spectrum of eigenvalues for the scalar Laplacian, $\Delta \equiv \Omega^a \Omega_a$, where Δ is, more generally, at finite N , a self-adjoint linear operator acting on bosonic hermitian matrices defined on the field of real (complex) numbers.

Notice that spacetime diffeomorphism invariance follows automatically in any nonperturbative matrix formulation for a quantum theory of gravity based on *zero-dimensional* matrices. It is only in the specification of the ground state, namely, the large N limit about which we expand when computing the $O(1/N)$ quantum corrections arising from the matrix path integral, that diffeomorphism invariance is *spontaneously* broken: the norm in the eigenspace of any self-adjoint operator is specified with respect to a fixed background metric. Thus, the perturbative string theories and their low energy effective field theory limits describe long-distance fluctuations of matter-energy in a spacetime continuum with fixed background metric, and in a ground state of matrix theory which spontaneously breaks diffeomorphism invariance. Notice that we have preserved the spirit of Einstein's classical theory of general relativity [11]: spacetime geometry is replaced by an equivalent matter-energy distribution, together with the classical field equations. But given both the matter-energy distribution and a specific solution to the field equations enables recovery, at least in principle, of a corresponding background metric.

The reader might be tempted, at this juncture, to interpret our action $\mathcal{S}$ as a classical Hamiltonian. The natural extension to a quantum theory corresponds to quantum mechanics in the Heisenberg representation with an underlying operator algebra contained within our algebra $\mathcal{G}$. The matrix realization of self-adjoint linear operators given by $\mathcal{S}$ is perhaps best understood within the representation-independent framework of Dirac's quantum mechanics, or of noncommutative geometry [12].¹

To illustrate the basic methodology we will follow, consider the hermitian one-matrix model [13]:

$$\mathcal{S}_B = \text{Tr } \Phi \Omega^a \Omega_a \Phi, \quad \Omega_a \Phi_n = \lambda_{na}^{1/2} \Phi_n \quad (2)$$

with $n=1, \dots, N$. We are assuming here that $\Delta = \Omega^a \Omega_a$ is a self-adjoint linear operator Δ , with orthonormal generalized eigenstates, $\{\Phi_n\}$, and eigenvalues, $\{\lambda_n\}$. In the large N limit, we make the usual extension to a Hilbert space with continuous eigenfunctions $\{\phi(\lambda; \mathbf{x})\}$. Note that the dependence on the underlying target space, $\mathbf{x}$, need not be explicit: we can work in a generalized momentum basis, or with suitable phase space variables. In general, the transition from finite N to infinite N is not unique. Given the nature of the eigenvalue spectrum of Δ in the large N limit, appropriate low and high momentum regulators may be needed in order to make the integral well-defined. These basic guidelines hold for all of the kinetic terms in our matrix action.

More importantly, unlike the path integral of the one-matrix model where both the action and measure readily localize on the space of eigenmodes [13,8], $\mathcal{S}$ has nontrivial terms coupling two or more matrices. Recall that no symmetry other than $SU(N)$ invariance was exploited in the analytic solution of the one-matrix path integral [13]. What happens if the matrix action is characterized by additional symmetry? This requires careful analysis of the invariant measure for the semi-direct product group $\mathcal{G} \times SU(N)$. It may be helpful to keep in mind that the analytic

¹These remarks were inspired by some beautiful ongoing lectures by I. M. Singer.

solution in [13] could have been obtained as follows: carry out the matrix path integration in closed form *prior* to performing the large N expansion, and subsequent term-by-term diagonalization. The Feynman path integral denotes the sum over a complete set of matrix-valued orthonormal eigenstates for some given self-adjoint linear operator. This result is basis independent. In particular, we need not work with the usual position-space eigenfunctions of field theory Lagrangians, choosing instead to work in a generalized momentum basis. Thus, for example, we switch off any interactions to obtain $\text{tr}_{\{\Phi_n\}} e^{-\Phi\Delta\Phi} \equiv [\det \Delta]^{-1/2}$. Expanding in a basis of free eigenmodes, we can now perform a Feynman diagram expansion invoking perturbation theory in a suitable dimensionless parameter or coupling present in the interaction. The large N limit—or some multiple-scaled variation on double scaling [8], and the computation of $O(1/N)$ corrections, are the final steps of this computation giving concrete meaning to the matrix quantum effective action.

The inner product in each eigenspace will be defined by ordinary matrix multiplication. We distinguish left- and right- multiplication within any matrix bilinear. The ordering ambiguity is fixed by recognizing the $SL(2, C)$ decomposition of each operator: objects in the $(n, 0)$, or $(0, \bar{n})$, representation are left-multipliers, while the $(0, n)$, or $(\bar{n}, 0)$ representations are right-multipliers. Thus, anti-spinors precede spinors and contravariant tensors precede covariant tensors in any bilinear. For example, $\eta^{ab} \equiv E^{a\mu} E_\mu^b$, $A^\mu A_\mu = A^a E_a^\mu E_{b\mu} A^b = A^a A_a$, with spinors defined by: $\bar{\psi}_\mu = \bar{\psi}_a E_\mu^a$, $\psi_\mu = E_\mu^a \psi_a$. Note that spinor and anti-spinor denote, respectively, fermionic Grassmann-valued objects in the N and $\bar{N}$ representations of $SU(N)$.

The fermionic operator $(\Gamma^a D_a)_{\alpha\beta}$ can be expressed as a sum over the independent Lorentz structures in theories with sixteen supercharges:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_a(\Gamma^a)_{\alpha\beta} + \Omega_{ab}(\Gamma^a \Gamma^b)_{\alpha\beta} + \Omega_{abc}(\Gamma^a \Gamma^{bc})_{\alpha\beta} \\ + \Omega_{abcd}(\Gamma^a \Gamma^{bcd})_{\alpha\beta} + \Omega_{abcde}(\Gamma^a \Gamma^{bcde})_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$\Gamma^a D_a$ evolves into the super-covariant derivative in the large N limit, coupling to both spin connection and nonabelian vector potential. It could also couple to additional antisymmetric p -form gauge potentials of the nonperturbative string or field theory ground state [3,1]. Such couplings represent Dbrane vacuum charges [3], necessitated by the requirement that the family of matrix theory ground states is also closed under weak-strong coupling duality transformations [2,1]. For example, in the generic IB ground state, $\Gamma^a D_a$ would evolve to:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{1} + C_{(0)}(x))\partial_a + A_a^j(x)\tau^j\Gamma^a + (A_{ab}(x) \\ + C_{ab}(x))\Gamma^a \Gamma^b + \omega_{abc}(x)\Gamma^a \Gamma^{bc} + C_{abcd}(x)\Gamma^a \Gamma^{bcd} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Low energy anomaly cancellation considerations determine the finite-dimensional Yang-Mills gauge group to be $O(32)$ [1], A_{ab} is the Neveu-Schwarz two-form potential which couples to the fundamental string. The Ramond-Ramond gauge potentials, distinguished by dilaton-independent kinetic terms in $\mathcal{S}$, are denoted $C_{a_1 \dots a_p}$, with p even, and $0 \leq p \leq 10$. They couple to Dbranes [3]. Notice that $C_{(0)}$ is always non-vanishing corresponding to the presence of Dinstanton charge, and the consequent restriction to IB ground states with a maximum of sixteen conserved supercharges. Notice also that under a T_9 -duality transformation, we map to the generic I' ground state, coupling instead to one or more $p \pm 1$ -form gauge potentials, with p even. This is the massive IIA string with nonvanishing cosmological constant, upto sixteen unbroken supersymmetries, and nine-dimensional nonabelian gauge fields [14]. Finally, it is clear that we can map from, respectively, the IB $O(32)$ and I' $E_8 \times E_8$ ground states to the corresponding heterotic ground states which are their weak-strong coupling duals, by suitable re-identifications of both operators and couplings in the action [2,1].

The five-index antisymmetric tensor in Eq. (3) has been set to zero in the large N limit. The necessity for including such a term at finite N comes from closure of the matrix Lorentz algebra: a non-vanishing commutator, $[\Omega_{abc}, \mathbf{L}_{de}]$, results from Lorentz transformation of the 3-index spin-connection. For example, in the absence of the $C_{(p)}$ and at finite N , $\Gamma^a D_a$ acts on the generic sixteen-component, Grassmann-valued, N -dimensional $SU(N)$ multiplet as follows:

$$\Gamma^a D_a \Psi = \Gamma^a \left(\Omega_a + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{abc} \Gamma^{bc} + \frac{1}{4} \Omega_{abcde} \Gamma^{bcde} \right) \Psi \quad (5)$$

Upon inclusion of the Ramond-Ramond two-form potential, C_{ab} , the nontrivial commutator with $\mathbf{L}_{de}$ implies the further necessity of including *both* $C_{[0]}$ and $C_{[4]}$ potentials. Thus, in the finite N case, the simultaneous presence of all even rank Ramond-Ramond potentials follows simply from Lorentz invariance. We should note that, in the continuum limit, the zero, two-, and four-form Ramond-Ramond potentials are known to couple through mixed Chern-Simons terms in the presence of worldvolume nonabelian gauge fields [1].

It is helpful to verify explicit closure of the finite N symmetry algebra $\mathcal{G}$. For simplicity, we set all Ramond-Ramond potentials to zero. Begin with the finite N manifestation of continuum Lorentz transformations. We introduce an infinitesimal hermitian matrix, L_{ab} , antisymmetric under the interchange of tangent space indices a, b . Keeping terms upto linear in L_{ab} , it is easy to verify that each term in $\mathcal{S}$ is invariant under the matrix transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\chi^i &= \Gamma^{ab}L_{ab}\chi^i, & \delta\bar{\chi}^i &= -\bar{\chi}^i\Gamma^{ab}L_{ab} \\
\delta\psi_a &= \Gamma^{bd}L_{bd}\psi_a + L_a^c\psi_c \\
\delta\bar{\psi}_c &= -\bar{\psi}_c\Gamma^{ab}L_{ab} - \bar{\psi}_aL_c^a \\
\delta\lambda &= \Gamma^{bd}L_{bd}\lambda, & \delta\bar{\lambda} &= -\bar{\lambda}\Gamma^{ab}L_{ab} \\
\delta(\Gamma^a D_a) &= [\Gamma^{ab}L_{ab}, \Gamma^c D_c] \\
\delta(\Gamma^{ab}D_b) &= [\Gamma^{de}L_{de}, \Gamma^{ab}D_b] + L_d^a\Gamma^{db}D_b \\
\delta(\Gamma^{abc}D_b) &= [\Gamma^{de}L_{de}, \Gamma^{abc}D_b] - [\Gamma^{abd}D_b, L_d^c] \\
\delta(\Omega_a\Phi) &= [L_a^c, \Omega_c]\Phi - \Omega_c L_a^c\Phi, \\
\delta(\Phi\Omega^a) &= -\Phi[\Omega^c, L_c^a] + \Phi L_c^a\Omega^c
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Likewise, consider a d_G -plet of infinitesimal real matrices, $\{\alpha^j\}$, each of which takes diagonal $N \times N$ form. Here, d_G is the dimension of the nonabelian gauge group with hermitian generators $\{\tau_j\}$. We can verify that every term in $\mathcal{S}$ is invariant under the Yang-Mills transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\chi &= i\tau^j\alpha^j\chi, & \delta(gA_a^j\tau^j) &= [\Omega_a, \tau^j\alpha^j] \\
\delta(\Omega_a\Phi) &= i\tau^j\alpha^j\Omega_a\Phi, & \delta(\Phi\hat{\Omega}_a) &= -i\tau^j\Phi\hat{\Omega}_a\alpha^j
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Finally, we must include in $\mathcal{S}$ the crucial two-fermion and four-fermion terms required by closure of a supersymmetry algebra [9,10]. Namely, given infinitesimal 16-component Grassmann-valued parameters, η_1, η_2 , each of which transforms as a N -vector of the unitary group $SU(N)$, we must verify that the commutator of two matrix supersymmetry transformations can be expressed as the sum of (i) an infinitesimal tangent space translation with parameter, $\xi^a = \bar{\eta}_1\Gamma^a\eta_2$, (ii) an infinitesimal local Lorentz transformation with parameter $L_{bc} = \xi^a\omega_{abc}$, and (iii) an infinitesimal local gauge transformation with gauge parameter $\alpha^i = -g\xi^a A_a^i$ [9]. We infer the following transformation rules:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta A_\mu^i &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}\Gamma_\mu\chi^i, & \delta E_\mu^a &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}\Gamma^a\psi_\mu, & \delta\Phi &= \bar{\eta}\lambda \\
\delta\chi &= -\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^{ab}F_{ab})\eta + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\eta}\chi - \bar{\chi}\eta)\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\chi}\Gamma^a\eta)\Gamma_a\lambda \\
\delta\psi_\mu &= D_\mu\eta + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\eta}\psi_\mu - \bar{\psi}_\mu\eta)\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}_\mu\Gamma^a\eta)\Gamma_a\lambda \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{g^2}(\bar{\chi}\Gamma^{abc}\chi)\Gamma_{abc}\Gamma_\mu\eta \\
\delta\lambda &= -\frac{1}{4}(\Gamma^a D_a\Phi)\eta + (H_{abc} - \bar{\lambda}\Gamma_{abc}\lambda \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{g^2}\text{tr}(\bar{\chi}\Gamma_{abc}\chi))\Gamma^{abc}\eta
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The resulting two-fermi and four-fermi terms that must be included in $\mathcal{S}$ take the manifestly Lorentz and Yang-Mills invariant form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_2 &= 2\bar{\psi}_a\Gamma^a\psi_b(\Omega^b\Phi) - 4\bar{\psi}_a\Gamma^b\Gamma^a\lambda(\Omega_b\Phi) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2^3}H^{def}[\bar{\psi}_a\Gamma^a\Gamma_{def}\Gamma^b\psi_b + 4\bar{\psi}_a\Gamma_{def}^a\lambda - 4\bar{\lambda}\Gamma_{def}\lambda \\
&\quad + g^2e^\Phi\bar{\chi}\Gamma_{def}\chi] + \frac{1}{4}g^2e^\Phi\bar{\chi}^i\Gamma^d\Gamma^{ab}(\psi_d + \frac{1}{3}\Gamma_d\lambda)F_{ab}^i, \\
\mathcal{S}_4 &= \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^6}\bar{\psi}^f\Gamma^{abc}\psi_f(\bar{\psi}_d\Gamma^d\Gamma_{abc}\Gamma^e\psi_e + 2\bar{\psi}^d\Gamma_{abc}\psi_d \\
&\quad - 4\bar{\lambda}\Gamma_{abc}\lambda - 4\bar{\lambda}\Gamma_{abc}\Gamma^d\psi_d) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^6}g^2e^\Phi(\bar{\chi}\Gamma^{abc}\chi)(\bar{\psi}_d(4\Gamma_{abc}\Gamma^d \\
&\quad + 3\Gamma^d\Gamma_{abc})\lambda - 2\bar{\lambda}\Gamma_{abc}\lambda - 3 \cdot 2^3 H_{abc})
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Our final expression for $\mathcal{S}$ can be simplified by introducing $SU(N)$ vectors, $\Psi, \bar{\Psi}$, where $\bar{\Psi} \equiv (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\psi}_a, \bar{\chi}^i)$, $\Psi \equiv (\lambda, \psi_b, \chi^j)$. We will assemble the kinetic and two-fermi terms of $\mathcal{S}$ in a $(11+d_G)N \times (11+d_G)N$ matrix array denoted $\mathcal{D}$. The four-fermi terms are likewise reassembled by introducing the matrix arrays $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$, of size $(11+d_G)N \times (11+d_G)N$, defined by Eq. (9). This give the remarkably compact result:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{D}\Psi + \frac{1}{4}(\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{U}\Psi)(\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{V}\Psi) + \frac{1}{2}g^2e^\Phi F^{ab}F_{ab} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\kappa^2}(\mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2}\Phi\Delta\Phi + 3e^{2\Phi}H^{abc}H_{abc})
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

In principle, $\mathcal{S}$ belongs to a family of matrix actions whose members can differ by $O(1/N)$ corrections, but which share the same infrared fixed point behavior in accordance with the principle of Universality Classes [15].

Our proposed action for matrix theory was motivated largely by symmetry considerations. In closing, we recall that quantum $O(1/N)$ corrections to the planar action can contribute terms of both quantum and nonperturbative origin in the low energy effective field theory. In the special case of string theories with sixteen supercharges, both will be absent. This suggests a useful test of our conjecture by direct computation of the spectrum of massive (BPS) string states using the matrix theory formalism. It is possible that, in these cases, the multiple-scaled variation of the large N expansion used in a particular ground state of matrix theory bears a simple and direct relationship to the α' expansion of the low energy string effective action in that same vacuum. It may even have an interpretation in terms of suitably weighted sums over worldsheets of different topology [15,16]. It will be most interesting to clarify the details of this picture.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS I am grateful to Hikaru Kawai for patient explanations of many aspects of planar reduction and the large N limit of matrix theories. This work was begun during a fruitful visit to Kyoto University, Japan. It is funded in part by NSF-PHY-9722394.

NOTE ADDED (July 2005): This is a short introduction to the proposal for nonperturbative String/M theory in hep-th/0201129. The comments in the *Note Added* to that work apply here as well.

-
- [†] Visitor, Theory Group. E-mail: shyamolic@yahoo.com
- [1] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, in two volumes, Cambridge (1998). *What is String Theory?*, hep-th/9611050.
 - [2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 85. P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 506.
 - [3] J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 4724.
 - [4] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 5112. T. Banks, hep-th/9911068.
 - [5] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, and A. Tsuchiya, Nucl. Phys. **B510** (1998) 158. H. Aoki, S. Iso, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, A. Tsuchiya, and T. Tada, hep-th/9908038.
 - [6] M. Dine, R. Echols, and J. Gray, Nucl. Phys. **B564** (2000) 225. W. Taylor, hep-th/0101126.
 - [7] J. Nishimura and F. Sugino, hep-th/0111102. J. Nishimura, hep-th/0108070.
 - [8] M. Douglas and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B335** (1990) 635. E. Brezin and V. A. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **B236** (1990) 144. D. Gross and A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 127. P. Ginsparg and G. Moore, hep-th/9304011.
 - [9] D. Z. Freedman and J. A. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. **D15** (1977) 1007. A. H. Chamseddine, Phys. Rev. **D24** (1981) 3065. E. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, B. de Wit, and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. **B195** (1982) 97. G. F. Chapline and N. S. Manton, Phys. Lett. **B120** (1983) 105.
 - [10] E. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, Phys. Lett. **B249** (1990) 27.
 - [11] A. Einstein, *Vier Vorlesungen uber Relativitatstheorie*, Lectures at Princeton University, May 1921 (1922).
 - [12] P. A. M. Dirac, *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Dover (1962). A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press (1994).
 - [13] E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J. Zuber, Comm. Math. Phys. **59** 35 (1978).
 - [14] L. Romans, Phys. Lett. **B169** (1986) 374. C. Hull, JHEP9811 (1998) 027. S. Chaudhuri, Nucl. Phys. **B591** (2000) 243.
 - [15] H. Kawai, *Constructing New Types of Matrix Models*, talk given at the Tohwa International Symposium in String Theory, Fukuoka, Japan (2001). T. Azuma, S. Iso, H. Kawai, and Y. Ohwashi, Nucl. Phys. **B610** (2001) 251.
 - [16] M. Douglas, *Large N Gauge Theories — Expansions and Transitions*, hep-th/9409098.